Northfield Lownship	2025-26 HSC Application Review Rubric		
Name of agency applying: Date of review:			
Application Rubric: Criteria Description	Possible Points	And the set Delete (see select)	Notes (Ossessed Assistant)
Criteria Description	Possible Points	Applicant Points (required)	Notes/Comments (optional)
The confication deads and also the consequence			
The application clearly explains the agency's mission.	Fails to explain (0); partially explains (10); fully explains (20)		
The application clearly describes how Township funding would be used.	Fails to explain (0); partially explains (10); fully explains (20)		
The application clearly explains how the funding the agency is requesting	Fails to explain/no alignment with Township priorities (0); partially		
aligns with one of the Township's stated strategic priorities (as stated in the	explains and/or aligns with Township priorities (10); fully		
memo and application itself).	explains/aligns with Township priorities (20).		
	Fewer than 10 Township residents (0); Between 10-50 Township		
North and Taylords and dayle are ad	residents served (10); More than 50 Township residents served		
Number of Township residents served.	(20)		
Percentage of residents who received direct services.	0% - 33% (0); 34% - 66% (25); 67% - 100% (50)		
r ercentage of residents who received direct services.	0 /0 - 33 /0 (0), 34 /0 - 00 /0 (23), 07 /0 - 100 /0 (30)		
Average number of hours spent per Township resident	Avg. # of hours: N/A		
Average number of heart spent per fownship resident	Fails to demonstrate diversified funding/explain efforts to raise		
	funding (0); partially demonstrates diversified funding/explains		
	efforts to raise funding (5); fully demonstrates diversified		
The agency has diversified funding strategies.	funding/explains efforts to raise funding (10).		
The application clearly explains the agency's collaboration with other			
agencies/organizations, to reduce duplication of services and improve results for the community.	Fails to demonstrate collaboration (0); partially demonstrates collaboration (5); thoroughly demonstrates collaboration (10).		
results for the community.	Collaboration (3), thoroughly demonstrates collaboration (10).		
A 4b			
Are the services or programs for which funding is requested unique to our community?	Yes No		
,			
Has the organization undergone an independent financial audit within the			
past fiscal year, and if so, were the results of the audit clean or unqualified? Please provide details on any findings or corrective actions recommended			
by the auditors.	Yes No N/A		
	Total Application Points:		
Interview Rubric:			
Criteria Description	Possible Points	Applicant Points (required)	Notes/Comments (optional)
Did the agency participate in an interview?	No (0); Yes (20)		
Did the agency fully or effectively respond to any follow-up questions asked	No (0): You (20)		
by the Commissioner?	No (0); Yes (20) Total Interview Points:		
		Total Rubric Points:	